

GRAM PANCHAYAT VILLAGE REWASAN AND ANR
VS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

Present:- None for the non-applicant/petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.4.

1. Present review application has been filed under Section 114 read with Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 on behalf of applicant-respondent No.4 for reviewing the order dated 31.01.2020 (Annexure A-1).

2. Learned counsel for applicant-respondent No.4 has submitted that the applicant-respondent No.4 has complied with the directions given by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in its order dated 31.01.2020. It is submitted that the present application has been filed with a limited prayer to clarify that the observation made in the order dated 31.01.2020 to the effect that “as the case of the petitioner-Gram Panchayat is with regard to release of grants and the communication within the Corporation indicates that such transfer is to be made as per policy”, is contrary to the policy/minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors held on 29.08.2013, as per which, it is HSIIDC which has to itself utilize the amount for execution of works of the gram panchayat. It is further submitted that in the said circumstances, the applicant-respondent No.4 would be satisfied if the said observations are not treated as a precedent.

3. Today, no one has appeared on behalf of non-applicant/petitioners to oppose the said prayer made by the applicant/respondent No.4. None had also appeared on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioners on 16.03.2021, 02.09.2022 and 11.11.2022. The prayer of the applicant is found to be genuine.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by the applicant-respondent No.4, the present review application is disposed of with the observation that the observation in the order dated 31.01.2020 to the effect that “as the case of the petitioner-Gram Panchayat is with regard to release of grants and the communication within the Corporation indicates that such transfer is to be made as per policy”, would not be treated as a precedent.

May 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE