

271

2023:PHHC:118580

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21112-2023

Date of decision :11.09.2023

AJAY DANIEL ANWAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Asst. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anil Malik, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.615 dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 (Sections 370, 380 IPC added later on) at Police Station Karnal Sadar, District Karnal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent nos.2 & 3.

Vide order dated 27.04.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 11.04.2023 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 27.04.2023 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Karnal and as per the report dated 02.09.2023

CRM-M-21112-2023

-2-

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Karnal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.615 dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 (Sections 370, 380 IPC added later on) at Police Station Karnal Sadar, District Karnal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

11.09.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No