

237

2023:PHHC:113911

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38231-2018

Date of decision: 31st August, 2023

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rishab Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate for respondents No. 7 to 9.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents. The grievance is that representation dated 23rd January, 2018 (Annexure P-9) was not being decided.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Shingara submits that the representation was considered, the allegations were found false and the same has been filed.
3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further interference is called for, the petition is disposed of.
4. Needless to say the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.
5. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

31st August, 2023
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No