

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22598 of 2022 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 10th January, 2023

Rampreet Singh @ Rama

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

One of the main ground for seeking regular bail is that the trial is not progressing at a decent pace.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits most of the prosecution witnesses are police officials and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses within six months from the date fixed.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating the date for expeditious examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

10th January, 2023

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *No*