

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20064 of 2023
Date of decision: 2nd August, 2023

Shahrukh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.241 dated 30.11.2022 for the offences under Sections 13(1), 13(2), 13(3) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 registered at Police Station Rozka Meo, District Nuh (Annexure P-1).

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 24.04.2023, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 24.04.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sandeep Kumar, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 24.04.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 2, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No