

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CRM No. M-20002 of 2023
Date of Decision : 12.07.2023

Ranjit Singh @ Kalu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner against FIR No. 283 dated
20.05.2020, registered under Sections 22/29 of NDPS Act, 1985 at Police
Station City Barnala, District Barnala.

At the outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from
ASI Gurnam Singh, submits that out of 35 cited witnesses, 33 witnesses
have already been examined and two witnesses are yet to be examined,
who will be examined shortly.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of as not pressed

keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel but submits that the trial court be directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, as only two witnesses are left to be examined, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of three months from the next date of hearing.

July 12, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No