

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-8401-2023

Date of decision: - 24.04.2023

Gram Panchayat Phulewala and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Dhirinder Kamal Saldi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari quashing the letter dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) and for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents No.3, 4 and 5 to make the petitioners as executing agencies for development works to be carried out in their respective villages and immediately deposit the amount of grant sanctioned for the development works for the petitioner to the account.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the amount to be deposited be released to the petitioners/Gram Panchayats.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Showkat Ahmad Parray, Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda, has submitted that the

amount due to the petitioners' Gram Panchayat would be released within a period of two weeks from today. Learned State counsel further submitted that in view of the fair stand taken by the State, the prayer No.3 deserves to be rejected.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the said fair stand taken by the respondent-State, he would not press the prayer No.3 made in the present writ petition. It is prayed that the present writ petition be disposed of, but the respondents-State be bound by the said statement.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Respondents-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

April 24, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No