

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-1324-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-9819-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.02.2023

NIRANJAN

.. Petitioner

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..Respondenta

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)
CM-1324-CWP-2023

This application is for pre-poning the date of hearing of the
main petition to an early date.

Notice in the application.

At this stage, Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate accepts notice on
behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate accepts
notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3, who do not oppose the prayer.

Application is allowed and the date of hearing is pre-poned to
today.

CWP-9819-2020 (O&M)

Petitioner has filed this writ petition under Article 226
Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari to
challenge the impugned termination of services order dated 08.07.2020

(Annexure P-6), passed by respondent No.3 as well as for challenging the advertisement dated 07.07.2020 (Annexure P-7) issued by respondent No.2, whereby applications have been invited for filling up the post of District Level Managers in Haryana for District Mahendergarh and Rewari, on contract basis. Further, prayer is for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue on the post of District Manager.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being aggrieved against the termination of his service order dated 08.07.2020 approached this Court and while issuing notice of motion in the writ petition to the respondents for 15.07.2020, interim protection was also granted by restraining the respondents from replacing him with another set of identical employees.

Learned counsel further submits that the respondent thereafter transferred the petitioner from Mahendergarh to State of Bihar, therefore, he submitted his resignation dated 11.08.2020, which has been accepted. Mr. Jain, learned counsel states that presently, the petitioner's only grievance relates to issuance of experience certificate by the employer, for the period he served.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the experience certificate has already been sent to the petitioner through email and in this regard, he has also produced a photocopy of the same.

At this stage, Mr. Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner gives up his claim to challenge the order dated 08.07.2020, but prays for direction to the respondents to issue him original experience certificate.

Mr. Bansal, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the needful be done within a period of two weeks.

In view of above stand of the parties, nothing survives for adjudication in the writ petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

As the main case is disposed of, therefore, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, be treated as disposed of.

01.02.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No