

103 2023:PHHC:057528

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19953-2023
Date of Decision: 24.04.2023

SANDEEP KUMAR ALIAS SIPPI

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 12 dated 19.02.2021 under Sections 379-B, 411 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Lambra, District Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially the petitioner was granted bail and he has absented from the proceedings in the trial Court on 14.09.2022. Consequently, the bail was cancelled and bail bonds were forfeited to the State. The petitioner had moved an application for anticipatory bail in the Court of Sessions and the same was allowed in terms of the order dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) with a direction that the petitioner shall surrender before the trial Court on or before 19.01.2023, the date already fixed. However, the petitioner could not put appearance in the Court on that date. Thereafter, he moved another application for extension of time to surrender before the trial Court. However, the application was dismissed in terms of the order dated 14.02.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner is working as a cleaner on a truck and was away to Assam and consequently, he could not surrender before the trial Court.

4. It is significant to note that despite the specific directions by the Court of Sessions, while granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, he failed to surrender in the trial Court on or before the date fixed i.e. 19.01.2023. The petitioner had moved an application for anticipatory bail and he was required to comply with the directions which may be issued at the time of final disposal of the said application.

5. In these set of circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve any indulgence from this Court.

6. Dismissed.

24.04.2023

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No