

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CWP No.8477 of 2023

Date of decision:24.04.2023

Priya and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus directing the respondents to consider their candidature for the post of Para-Ayush Sahayak (Ayush Yog Sahayak) and to allow them to join on the said post.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are registered on the portal of Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited and vide Annexures P-5 to P-5/C, they received deployment offer letters. Counsel submits that although the petitioners received message from the online portal that they have joined duties on 07.02.2023, but they never physically joined the duty. By letter dated 13.02.2023 (Annexure P-7), petitioners have been informed that Yoga course done by them is not recognized by the UGC. By placing reliance upon the diploma acquired by one Nasim Ahmed (Annexure P-8) as well as attendance register (Annexure P-9), counsel submits that there has been a discrimination between the petitioners and Naseem as he had done Yoga Training Program from the same institute, but

he has been deployed. Counsel submits that the petitioners have submitted various representations with the respondent-authorities, which are pending and the petitioners would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to look into representation dated 16.02.2023 (Annexure P-11).

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Given the nature of order being passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call for a response from the respondents.
6. Having heard counsel for the parties, but without commenting upon the claim of the petitioners as raised in the writ petition or in the representations, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to examine the representation dated 16.02.2023 (Annexure P-11) within a period of three months from the date of communication of copy of this order. In case, respondent No.3 finds that petitioners cannot be deployed, a speaking order be passed assigning reasons for rejection and in case petitioners are found eligible, their case be forwarded for further action within the aforesaid period.

April 24, 2023
savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No