

CWP-1291-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1291-2023

Date of decision: 23.01.2023

Mam Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondent No.3 to grant 15 marks of the teaching experience on the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT), History (Guest Faculty) to the petitioner in the selection for the post of PGT (History).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the advertisement No.04/2015 dated 28.06.2015, the petitioner had applied for the post of PGT (History) in Dependent of Ex-Serviceman – BC-B Category (DESM- BCB Category); that the petitioner appeared in the written examination and the interview, and that however in the final result/partial result (Annexures P-10 and P-13), the roll number of the petitioner did not figure.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the last selected candidate in DESM-BCB Category, has secured 116 marks whereas the petitioner has secured 105 marks (88 marks in written test and

CWP-1291-2023

17 marks in interview); that however, the petitioner has not been granted any mark on account of his teaching experience despite the fact that he had already submitted the requisite document to the selection committee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner had already raised the said grievance before the respondents through the legal notice dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-16), which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said legal notice.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-16) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-16) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Disposed of.

23.01.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No