

2023:PHHC:077661
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104-210

CRWP 3891 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.05.2023

Anjali Sharma @ Nazia and another ...Petitioner(s)
Vs.
State of U.T. Chd. and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Manjot Singh Gujral, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 as they were living together against the wishes of the private respondents.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 13.04.2023. He further contends that they are getting constant threats at the instance of the private respondents.

A short reply has been filed on behalf of the Union Territory of Chandigarh. The learned State counsel submits that the statements of the private respondents No. 4 to 9 have been recorded and they have stated that they have no objection to the marriage between both the petitioners and will not extend any threats to them.

In view of the reply filed by the Union Territory of Chandigarh, no further orders are required and the petition is, accordingly, disposed off.

29.05.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No