

260

2023:PHHC:057457

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11041-2022

Date of decision : 24.04.2023

Subhash Sehgal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab, for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to disqualify the councilorship of respondent No.4 under the Punjab Municipal Corporation Act, 1976.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has submitted that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous inasmuch as the term of respondent No.4 has already lapsed on 22.01.2023.

3. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid contention raised by learned counsel for respondent No.3. On 19.10.2022 also, no one was present on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. It is made clear that the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for restoration of present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to him.

24.04.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No