

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10322 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:17.08.2023

Vakeel Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate and
Ms. Aarti Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

-.-

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the selection process for the post of ADA has already been over and according to the petitioner, some of the posts of ADA, which had been advertised, are lying vacant, the petitioner be allowed to approach respondents to file an appropriate representation to claim one of the advertised posts, which is lying vacant on the ground that the petitioner was fully eligible for appointment in the category he had applied for.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2-Commission submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner with the authority concerned, the same will be considered by the authority concerned in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 8 weeks on receipt of said representation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2-Commission, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file an appropriate representation.
4. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

August 17, 2023
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No