

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.19593 of 2023
Date of decision: 14th July, 2023

Prahlad Kumar @ Majha

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ankit Kharbanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.165 dated 23.06.2021 for the offences under Sections 323, 452, 506 and 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar.

2. Vide order dated 21.04.2023, the petitioner had been granted concession of interim bail in the following terms:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a trivial quarrel between the son of the complainant and the petitioner on account of a collusion between their motorcycles led to the registration of FIR in question against the petitioner. He further submits that even otherwise the petitioner has just been attributed simple injuries on the head of the son of the complainant with the butt of the pistol, which he was carrying at the relevant time. While inviting the attention of this Court to

compromise (Annexure P-3) learned counsel has urged that subsequent to the filing of FIR in question, with the intervention of wellwishers and respectables parties had ironed out all their differences and arrived at an amicable settlement.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 21.04.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 21.04.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No