

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-20196-2023

Date of decision: 27.04.2023

Susheel Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Deepak Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to follow the statutory procedure as provided under Section 41(A) of the Cr.P.C. by giving him at least 10 days' notice in writing in case any new FIR case to be registered against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has an apprehension that he could be falsely implicated in some cases at the instance of his brother who has been registering false cases against him. Learned counsel submits that his brother is trying to plant false cases on him as a counter-blast to FIR No.0331 dated 10.04.2017 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Thanesar City (Annexure P-1) which he had registered against him.

3. I have heard learned counsel and perused the relevant material on record.

4. This Court does not find any merit in the prayer made by learned counsel. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the

official respondents merely on the basis of assumptions and presumptions as also an apprehension that his brother could falsely implicate him in some more criminal cases in future. It needs to be observed here that such blanket directions can certainly not be issued to the official respondents. In case any FIR comes into existence against the petitioner at the instance of his brother or for that matter any other person, it would be best left to the police, in the facts and circumstances of the offences alleged therein, to proceed in accordance with law.

5. Dismissed.

27.04.2023

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No