

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.212

CRM-M-20901-2023

Date of Decision : May 03, 2023

Amritpal Singh @ Nona

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Dhanvinder Singh Nigha, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. P.P., UT, Chandigarh.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.217, dated 22.12.2022, registered at Police Station Sector 39, U.T., Chandigarh, under Section 379-A IPC (Section 411 & 34 IPC subsequently added).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused Arun has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 24.04.2023 passed in CRM-M-18791-2023. Said co-accused is identically situated as the petitioner and thus, the petitioner may be granted the same concession.

3. Learned counsel for UT, Chandigarh concedes that the allegations against the petitioner are similar to those made against co-accused Arun.

4. Thus, keeping in view the principle of parity, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**May 03, 2023**

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No