

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4577-2022

Date of Decision:08.05.2023

Vicky Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr. Siddharth Pandit, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.7 and 8.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, with the consent of the parties, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. However, the Mediator has reported that joint and separate sessions were held between the parties and despite best efforts, the parties could not reach to any amicable settlement.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the respondent No.1 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, which is under threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Upon notice, a reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub- Division Khanna has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel contends that a family dispute is pending between the parties. An enquiry was marked by DSP, Sub-Division Khanna to SHO Police Station City 2 Khanna and the enquiry was conducted with regard to the representation submitted by the petitioner. During the course of enquiry, the petitioner as well as respondents No.6 and 7 had appeared and their respective statements were recorded by the Enquiry Officer.

Learned State counsel further contends that on the basis of the statement made by respondent No.7, one FIR No.75 dated 28.05.2022, under Sections 341, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, Police Station City 2 Khanna, Police District Khanna has been ordered to be registered against the petitioner, namely Vicky Singh and 7 other named accused. He further contends that during the enquiry, it has been established that there is no threat to the present petitioner at the hands of respondents and the matter relating to the property dispute is already pending before the learned Court at Khanna.

Learned State counsel has further assured the Court that the FIR No.75 dated 28.05.2022, under Sections 341, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 of IPC shall be investigated fairly and in accordance with law. In case, any representation is received from any of the parties with regard to the threat to life and liberty, the same shall be looked into and appropriate action shall be taken in accordance with law.

In view of the above, no further orders are required and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

08.05.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No