

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

260

CRM-M-36599-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.08.2023

RAJIV BHATIA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN. J.(Oral)

1. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Karnail Singh brings to the notice of this Court that FIR No.242 dated 14.12.2015, out of which the present petition has arisen already stands quashed on the basis of compromise in CRM-M-14517-2022, vide order dated 02.05.2022.
2. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and accordingly the same is dismissed as infructuous.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

09.08.2023
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No