

(102) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9565-2020

Date of Decision:11.04.2023

Supriya Goyal and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present : Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral)

The right as far as of the petitioners is concerned, is not disputed as the same has been pointed out that the only plea which is being sought to be projected by the learned counsel for the respondents is that there are writ petitions pending.

On a categorical question put by this Court as to whether there is any stay granted by this Court with regard to granting the claim as has been put forth by the petitioners, the answer is 'no'. What has been sought to be projected during the pendency of the writ petition whether the claim as has been made is similar to that of the petitioners, the pendency thereof, in our considered view, have no effect as far as the petitioners are concerned since their rights have been crystallized which have been admitted by the petitioners.

In the light of the above, the reason assigned by the respondents for not granting the relief, as claimed by the petitioners, is not acceptable and

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and direction is issued to the respondents to incorporate the plots allotted to the petitioners in terms of the direction issued by this Court in the judgment dated 07.10.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-1538-1987 titled as S. Bachitter Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others within a period of two months.

(Augustine George Masih)
Judge

(Harpreet Singh Brar)
Judge

11.04.2023
rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No