

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.19432 of 2023

Jugraj Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

2. CRM-M No.20786 of 2023

Sandeep Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

3. CRM-M No.33550 of 2023

Kulwinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

Date of decision: 3rd October, 2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M Nos.20786 & 33550 of 2023
for private respondents in CRM-M No.19432 of 2023.

Mr. Gaurav, Advocate for Mr.H.S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M No.19432 of 2023
for private respondents
in CRM-M Nos.20786 & 33550 of 2023.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners in all the three cases, detailed hereinabove,
are seeking quashing of FIR No.63 dated 18.08.2019 under Sections 323,
342, 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) as well as

DDR No.26 dated 20.08.2019 under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC pertaining to Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.02.2023 effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 21.07.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 31.08.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Tarn Taran, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR/DDR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR/DDR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Tarn Taran and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **‘Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others’ (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and

also by the Full Bench of this Court in ‘**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR/DDR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 3, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No