

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-3758-2023

Date of decision: 20.04.2023

Naina and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. C.S. Singhal, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5 who are father and uncle of petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1–Naina, aged 20 years and petitioner No.2–Raman Kumar, aged more than 22 years, got married on 17.04.2023, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-6) was also made to respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into their representation (Annexure P-6) and take

appropriate steps at the earliest.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the representation dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-6) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

20.04.2023
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No