

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17623 of 2019

Date of decision: 21st August, 2023

Prabhjot Singh and others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. J. S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Tajinder Bir Singh,
Advocate for respondent No. 2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 8 dated 23.2.2017, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

2. As per the FIR, the petitioners inflicted injuries to the complainant.

3. The parties with the intervention of respectables have compromised the matter.

4. On 22.4.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial court for getting their statements recorded with regard to compromise.

5. The report dated 17.7.2019 is received stating that the compromise is genuine, voluntary, without any coercion or undue influence.

6. Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, has held:-

“There is no statutory bar in Cr.P.C. which affects inherent power of this Court under Section 482. The power of quashing is not limited to matrimonial cases alone.”

7. The Supreme Court in *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others v. State of Gujarat and another, 2017 AIR (SC) 4843* laid down the broad principles governing the exercise of powers for quashing of FIR. It was held that the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is to be exercised by the High Court to secure the ends of justice, to prevent abuse of any process of law and in cases where in view of the compromise the possibility of conviction is remote and continuation of proceeding will cause oppression and prejudice.

8. The parties are from the same locality. With the intervention of friends and relatives, the parties have decided to forget and forgive and to save their relations to an extent possible. No useful purpose would be served by continuing with the trial. Due to compromise, there are bleak chances of conviction. To meet the ends of justice, the FIR mentioned above and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

9. The petition is allowed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

21st August, 2023
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No