

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

272-A

CRM-M-20201-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 01.08.2023

Rajbeer Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Mr. Davinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Rajneet Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

CRM-30240-2023

Present application has been filed for impleading Surinder Kaur wife
of Balveer Singh as respondent No. 3.

Applicant Surinder Kaur w/o Late Balveer Singh has filed the present
application for being impleaded as respondent No. 3, on account of the fact that her
son Avtar Singh, had passed away, therefore his father namely Balveer Singh was
impleaded who is also no more.

In view of the above, applicant is impleaded as respondent No. 3 in the present petition.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

CRM-M-20201-2023

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 99 dated 05.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur, Annexure P-1 and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.09.2022.

2. This Court vide order dated 25.04.2023 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 13.06.2023 has been received from the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded, who have stated that the matter has been settled between the parties and they have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion. It is also stated in the report that there are 8 accused persons. They have not been declared as proclaimed offenders and are not involved in any other case.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

5. The Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs.**

State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, held that High Court has power

under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation, but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

7. In view of the above-referred judgments and after a perusal of the report of trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioners and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing of FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the best interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

8. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 99 dated 05.06.2020 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station

Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur, Annexure P-1 and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 13.09.2022.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

01.08.2023

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No