

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

269

CRR-1002-2022
Date of Decision: 31.01.2023

Navjot Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

A perusal of the petition reveals that the aggrieved persons were not
arraigned as respondents.

Given above, this Court would not be in a position to adjudicate the matter
in the absence of aggrieved persons and as such, the present petition is defective.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present
petition with liberty to file fresh by arraigning the aggrieved persons as respondents.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of having been withdrawn with
aforesaid liberty.

It is clarified that the time for which the present petition was pending before
the Court shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation. Furthermore, the Registry shall
not raise objection in case the memo of parties does not correspond with the impugned
orders.

All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

31.01.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No