

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CR No. 2343 of 2023

Date of Decision : 20.04.2023

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Public Works Department (B&R) and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present case, the prayer of the petitioner is that while filing a civil suit, he had also filed an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC for the grant of ad-interim injunction on 20.07.2017 but the said application is still pending consideration and has not been decided. Though, during the argument also, no valid justification has come as to why, the said application was not pressed before the trial court but the present petition is disposed of with the direction that in case the application dated 20.07.2017 is still pending consideration and there is no impediment in deciding the same, the learned trial court should look into the aspect of deciding the said application as expeditiously as possible by observing due process of law.

Disposed of.

April 20, 2023

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No