

2023:PHHC:123119

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8003-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.09.2023**

Dharamraj Yadav

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Chander Goyal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner (Dharamraj Yadav) has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents to initiate proceedings against the Management and its responsible person under Section 29 read with Sections 32 and 34 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for non-implementation of settlement dated 10.10.2014, which was arrived at between the Management and the regular workers of M/s Metro Ortem Limited, Village Sidhrawali, Gurgaon. It is further prayed that respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to decide representations dated 15.10.2022 (Annexures P-6 and P-7).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant writ petition has become infructuous as the prosecution has already been launched.

3. Learned State counsel has also conceded the aforesaid fact.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, respondent No.3 would be at liberty to take recourse to his remedies in accordance with law.

5. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

19.09.2023

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No