

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122+246

CWP-9977-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.12.2023

Aman Sethi

...Petitioner

Versus

Center of Innovative and Applied Bioprocessing (CIAB) and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of communication dated 10.02.2022 (Annexure P-3) whereby claim of the petitioner for promotion as Section Officer has been declined.
2. The respondent has declined claim of the petitioner for promotion as Section Officer on the sole ground that bye-laws of the organization are under consideration and instructing department i.e. Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology has raised objection.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute information supplied under Right to Information Act, 2005 which confirms that no instructions have been issued by department for streamlining of bye-laws and

recruitment rules. He further submits that case of the petitioner would be re-considered and an appropriate order would be passed in accordance with law within four weeks from today.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent to decide fate of the petitioner without being influenced from its earlier order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.12.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>