

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8351-2023

Date of decision : 21.04.2023

Purkha Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents no.1 to 5.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.4-allotment authority to reallocate the land in question to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that vide order dated 11.03.1981, the land in question was allotted to the petitioner and thereafter, vide order dated 17.10.2012 the said order was set aside and the matter was remanded to respondent no.4-SDO(Civil)-cum-Allotment Authority and the order dated 17.10.2012 was challenged by some of the allottees and in the said case vide order dated 12.09.2014, the Commissioner, Hisar Division had observed that the matter has been remitted to the allotment authority which will consider the case of every

order will be passed. It is submitted that inspite of the said order being of the

year 2014, no final order has been passed qua the petitioner by the SDO(Civil)-cum-Allotment Authority till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a limited prayer that the allotment authority be directed to consider the case of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

- 3.Learned State counsel has submitted that they have no objection to the said course of action.
- 4.Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.4-SDO (Civil)-cum-Allotment Authority to decide the case in pursuance to remand order dated 12.09.2014 (Annexure P-1) as expeditiously as possible.
- 5.It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case respondent no.4 would decide the case independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No