

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(226)

CWP-10002-2019

Date of Decision : October 31, 2023

Manoj Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devender Punia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the services of the petitioner were terminated on the ground that the petitioner was involved in a criminal case in which proceedings the petitioner has already been acquitted honorably hence, the respondents were under an obligation to consider the claim of the petitioner for reinstatement/fresh appointment against the posts which are lying vacant.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be considered sympathetically in accordance with law and in case any claim of the petitioner needs to be accepted, appropriate order on the said representation will be passed by respondent No.3 within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

4. Ordered accordingly.

October 31, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No