

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8193-2023
Date of decision : 20.04.2023

Harpuneet Singh Sandhu and others	Vs.	...Petitioners
State of U.T.Chandigarh and others		...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. G.S.Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to abide by the order dated 02.06.2022 (Annexure P-8) and further not to take any coercive action upon the complaint dated 21.03.2023 during the pendency of the proceedings before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners that upon a complaint given by Sukhmani Sheema Sandhu, no formal cognizance has been taken by the police so far and though the petitioners have willingly consented to participate in the mediation process.

Considering the nature of the relief claimed by the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.04.2023

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No