239+202+120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1) CWP-9286-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.12.2023

NAVDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS ..... Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA ..... Respondent

2) CWP-13249-2020

NAVEEN AND ANOTHER .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

3) CWP-13206-2020

NEERAJ .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

4) **CWP-13207-2020**

KAPTAN SINGH AND ANOTHER .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

5) **CWP-9572-2020 (O&M)**

BALWINDER SINGH AND ANR .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA ....Respondent

6) **CWP-13424-2020**

KARAN .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

7) **CWP-16114-2020**

Asgar Ali
2023.12.19 08:06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

SUSHIL AND ANR .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

8) **CWP-15071-2020**

VIJAY SINGH AND ANR .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

9) **CWP-16734-2020**

MANPREET SINGH .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

10) **CWP-14821-2020**

NAVEEN KUMAR .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA ....Respondent

11) **CWP-14709-2020**

DARSHAN .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

12) **CWP-15277-2020**

HARVINDER TEJA .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA ....Respondent

13) **CWP-17041-2020**

DEEPAK KUMAR .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA ....Respondent

14) **CWP-14085-2021 (O&M)**

MAMTA RANI ....Petitioner

Asgar Ali
2023.12.19 08:06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

15) **CWP-16055-2021**

KAILASH .....Petitioner

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

16) **CWP-6631-2021**

MUKESH KUMAR ....Petitioner

Versus

THE UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

17) **CWP-20303-2023**

GURMEET SINGH AND OTHERS .....Petitioners

Versus

THE UNION BANK OF INDIA, LUDHIANA .....Respondent

18) **CWP-16762-2021 (O&M)**

PAWAN AND ORS .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA .....Respondent

19) **CWP-1118-2023**

VIKAS GREWAL AND OTHERS .....Petitioners

Versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS .....Respondents

20) **CWP-27956-2023**

AMIT .....Petitioner

Versus

THE UNION BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS .....Respondents

Asgar Ali
2023.12.19 08:06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Harmeet Kaur Chanan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate
for the respondent.

Mr. Abhineet Taneja, Advocate
for the respondent in CWP No.9572 of 2020.

****

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order CWP-9286-2020, CWP-13249-2020, CWP-13206-2020, CWP-13207-2020, CWP-9572-2020, CWP-13424-2020, CWP-16114-2020, CWP-15071-2020, CWP-16734-2020, CWP-14821-2020, CWP-14709-2020, CWP-15277-2020, CWP-17041-2020, CWP-14085-2021, CWP-16055-2021, CWP-6631-2021, CWP-20303-2023, CWP-16762-2021, CWP-1118-2023 and CWP-27956-2023 are hereby disposed of since issue involved in all the petitions and prayer sought are common. With the consent of parties and for the sake of brevity, facts are borrowed from CWP-9286-2020.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent-Bank to continue him on the post of Sub Staff/PTS.

3. The petitioner joined Andhra Bank on temporary basis. The Andhra Bank came to be amalgamated with Union Bank of India on 04.03.2020.

4. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that respondent-Bank has not issued any advertisement for the appointment of part time Sweepers/Sub Staff on permanent basis. There are few petitioners who

Asgar Ali
2023.12.19 08:06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

have already been terminated or they have left the job and even left the country. There are few petitioners who are still working with respondent-Bank. Both sides concede that this bunch of petitions is squarely covered by order 30.11.2023 passed by this Court in Bunch of petitions titled as ***Sanjay Kumar Vs. Punjab National Bank and others*** in ***CWP No.18893 of 2023.*** They also concede that case of employees who are still working with bank is covered by order dated 30.11.2023 passed by this Court in a Bunch of petitions titled as ***Ranjeet Singh and others Vs. National Institute of Technology and others*** in ***CWP No.8612 of 2020.***

5. In the light of statements of both sides and judgment dated 30.11.2023 passed by this Court in ***Sanjay Kumar*** (Supra) and order dated 30.11.2023 passed in ***Ranjeet Singh and others*** (Supra), the petitions stand disposed of with a direction that the candidates who at present are working with respondent- Bank would continue to work till they are replaced by regular employees or any misconduct is found on their part or Bank concludes that in view of changed circumstances, there is no work which can be assigned to the petitioners. The petitions with respect to employees who have already been terminated or have left the job are hereby dismissed.

5. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.12.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Asgar Ali
2023.12.19 08:06
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document