

CRM-M-35192-2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-35192-2016
Date of decision: 08.11.2023

SANDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWATPresent:- Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for quashing of FIR No.563 dated 03.06.2013 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 382 IPC (Section 395 IPC added later on), at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. It is submitted by counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Ravinder, that despite having been permitted to appear before the trial Court through video-conferencing, to make a statement regarding the compromise, respondent No.2 has chosen not to appear. Therefore, no statement could be recorded.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also not disputed the

CRM-M-35192-2016

fact that respondent No.2 did not appear before the trial Court even through video-conferencing, to make a statement qua the compromise.

4. In view of the above, this Court does not find it appropriate to continue with the present petition.

5. Dismissed.

08.11.2023
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No