

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-18898-2023

Date of decision: 19.07.2023

Nirmal Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Amit Siwach, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.319 dated 07.07.2022 under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 120B of the IPC registered at Police Station Sector-17 Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. On 18.04.2023, this Court had noticed the following contentions made by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner, who is a 65 year old lady, was a bonafide purchaser of the plot in question which she had purchased from its earlier owner. Thereafter, her name was incorporated in the revenue records. He submits that the description of the plot in question which had been purchased by the petitioner stands duly reflected in the earlier sale deeds vide which the plot in question was transferred in her name from the earlier owners. He submits that Kamla Devi, from whom the petitioner had purchased the plot in question, has since been extended the concession of anticipatory bail by the learned Trial Court.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 26.04.2023, the petitioner had

been granted interim bail and asked to join investigaiton.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 26.04.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rampal, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 26.04.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

19.07.2023

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No