

2023:PHHC:079247

**RA-CR-60-2023 in
CR-3796-2022
Date of Decision : 31.05.2023**

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

**RA-CR-61-2023 in
CR-3371-2022**

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, two review petitions are being decided as both arise out of the same judgment.

2. The present review petitions have been filed for review of the order dated 20.03.2023 (Annexure A-1) by which the civil revisions in question were decided.

3. Learned counsel for the review petitioner argues that the view taken by this Court needs reconsideration.

4. It may be noticed that the jurisdiction under review is very narrow. The order can only be reviewed in case the same is based upon incorrect facts. In the present case, no incorrect fact, which has been noticed in the order sought to be reviewed, has been brought to the notice of this Court. Rather the endeavour of the applicant is to re-argue the case.

5. Under the garb of review, the party cannot re-argue the petition so as to convince the Court to arrive at a different decision. In case the petitioner is aggrieved by the order, review of which is sought, he has a remedy of appeal but review cannot be filed to re-argue the matter.

6. Keeping in view the above, no ground is made out for reviewing the order in question.

7. Dismissed.

May 31, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No