

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CR-2283-2023

Date of Decision : April 18, 2023

Ravinder Kumar @ Ravinder Kumar Pabbi**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate, with
Mr. Sahil Koundal, Advocate and
Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the present petition on merits qua the impugned order as petitioner intends to amend the suit accordingly and only prayer of the petitioner is that the amount which has already been deposited with the respondent-Department in anticipation of the extension of the contract, be refunded to him.

The present civil revision petition is disposed of having been not pressed with the liberty as prayed for with the further direction that in case any request is made by the petitioner before the authorities concerned for the refund of the amount already deposited in anticipation of the extension of the contract, same be decided in accordance with law within a period of four weeks of the receipt of the said request by passing an

appropriate speaking order.

In case after the passing of the speaking order, the petitioner is found entitled for refund of the amount, the same be also refunded to him within a further period of four weeks.

April 18, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No