

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 211

Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-19914 of 2022

Date of Decision: February 1, 2023

Kashish and others

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vilas Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.859 dated 28.12.2021, under Sections 323, 354-A, 377, 498-A and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, in view of the compromise, dated 15.02.2022 (Annexure P-2), entered into between the petitioner(s) and the complainant to settle their disputes in question.

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties had entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard, vide order dated 05.09.2022. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 16.09.2022 has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, at Flag 'A', stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

3. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant admit the factum of compromise, and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

4. It has been held by the Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*, 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another*, 2014(6) SCC 466, that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner by entering into a compromise. On similar lines is another judgment of the Supreme Court in *Shiji v. Radhika*, 2012 (1) SCC (Criminal) 101, wherein criminal proceedings for offences under Sections 354 and 394 IPC were quashed since the parties had entered into a compromise and there were no chances of conviction.

5. Further, reference can also be made to Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another*, 2007(3) R.C.R.(Criminal) 1052, wherein it has been held that on the parties settling their disputes by way of a compromise the High Court in exercise of power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can allow the compounding of non-compoundable offences also, and quash the prosecution to prevent abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. The power is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. A perusal of the allegations in the FIR as well as the aforesaid report establishes that the present case falls in the category of cases that

can be quashed by the High Court in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., as per law laid down in the aforementioned judgments. It is a matter of record that the parties after entering into compromise dated 15.02.2022 (Annexure P-2), wherein they agreed to settle all the criminal as well as matrimonial disputes between them, as also payment of Rs.11,50,000/- to the complainant-wife towards maintenance/permanent alimony/istridhan. It is also pointed out that based on the compromise, a decree of divorce dated 09.09.2022, by mutual consent has already been passed, which has been placed on record as Annexure P-9. It is also recorded therein that entire amount of Rs.11,50,000/- towards past, present and future maintenance stands paid to the complainant. Since disputes between the parties have been amicably resolved by way of the compromise, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility, as chances of ultimate conviction are not there.

7. Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No.859 dated 28.12.2021, under Sections 323, 354-A, 377, 498-A and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

February 01, 2023
payal

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No