

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

282-2

CRM-M-21059-2023

Date of decision: 27.07.2023

Sandeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**Present:** Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Paul Chandel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC. seeking quashing of cross case Rapat/GD No.36 dated 11.08.2020 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No.157 dated 11.08.2020 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 34 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 27.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. In deference to order dated 28.04.2023, report has been received from the trial Court. The relevant extract of the same is as under:

"The undersigned has carefully gone through the statements got recorded before the undersigned by the complainant/respondent namely Jasvir Kaur and Petitioners/accused persons namely Sandeep Kaur, Jagdev Singh, Paramjit Kaur and Mandeep Kaur. Ex-facie, it transpires from the statements of the parties (recorded in the court) that they have arrived at compromise with their free volition, without any inducement, threat, promise, coercion or

undue influence from any quarter. Apparently, the compromise has been genuinely arrived at to eliminate bitterness and acrimony between the parties and in order to restore cordial relations among them and is without any fraud, misrepresentation, coercion or undue influence and is the result of the free will of the parties.”

3. Keeping in view the above settlement, report of the Trial Court and the judgments of Supreme Court in ***B.S. Joshi and others Versus State of Haryana and another (2003) 2 RCR (Criminal) 888*** and ***Narinder Singh Versus State of Punjab (2014) 6 SCC 466*** this Court is of the opinion that keeping the criminal proceedings alive would not serve any purpose and setting them aside would enable the parties, who are co-villagers, to lead a peaceful and harmonious life.

4. Accordingly, petition is allowed. Cross case Rapat/GD No.36 dated 11.08.2020 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No.157 dated 11.08.2020 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 34 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala, is quashed qua the petitioners.

27.07.2023

Parveen kumar

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No