

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.210-b

CRM-M-18476-2023

Date of Decision : May 25, 2023

Gursewak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG, Punjab.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.254, dated 24.09.2022, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 379-B, 149, 395, 411 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 395/411 IPC added later on vide DDR No.25 dated 24.10.2022).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been in custody for more than six months. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as examination of Pws has not yet started. There is one other criminal case pending against him in which he is on bail. Thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

3. Custody certificate dated 24.05.2023 checked by Sh. Surinder Singh, Jail Superintendent, Central Jail, Amritsar has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record. A perusal thereof shows that the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 06 months and 27 days and there is one other criminal case pending against him in which he is on bail.

4. Learned State counsel concedes that examination of Pws has not yet started.

5. The petitioner has been in custody for six months and 27 days and the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. Thus, I deem it appropriate to grant the regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

May 25, 2023

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Yes

No