

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19441-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.08.2023

SANT RAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.164 dated 13.04.2022, under Sections 406, 420, 467 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station IMT, Rohtak, District Rohtak, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 09.05.2022 and he has already joined the investigation. He further submitted that in view of the order passed by this Court on 17.07.2023 the petitioner has also deposited an amount of Rs.10,50,000/- before the Electricity Board and in this regard, he has furnished a letter, which is in vernacular form issued by the SDO, UHBVN, Rohtak. A

photocopy of the same is taken on record as 'Mark-X'. He further submitted that in view of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.05.2022 may be made absolute.

3. Learned State counsel has stated on instructions from SI Prateek that in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 09.05.2022, the petitioner has already joined investigation and he is fully cooperating with the investigation process and he is not required for custodial interrogation. He further submitted that the dispute is between the petitioner and the complainant-Company.

4. In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 09.05.2022, is hereby made absolute.

21.08.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No