

CWP No. 9774 of 2019

2023:PHHC:160773

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CWP No. 9774 of 2019

Date of Decision : 14.12.2023

Sukhbir Mandi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhraj Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with
Mr. Aarush Neeraj Vaid, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that under the interim order of the Court, the petitioner has already competed and in order to find out whether the present petition survives, the respondents be directed to produce the provisional result so that it could be ascertained whether, the writ petition has been rendered academic or not.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has produced the provisional result of the petitioner from which it transpires that the last candidate selected in the Category in which the petitioner is competing has secured 66.79 marks whereas, the petitioner has secured much less

marks than the last selected candidate in the category in which the petitioner is competing.

3. Keeping in view the said fact, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. The provisional result is returned back to learned counsel for the respondents so as to re-seal and deposit back with the respondent-Commission for further purposes.

December 14th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No