

266

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7690 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.11.2023

HARENDER SINGHPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, D.A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant 3 marks to the petitioner for higher qualification i.e. Post-Graduation (M.Tech) and accordingly revise his result and offer him appointment on the post of Male Constable (GD) (Advt. No.4/2020 Cat. No.1) alongwith all consequential benefits as has been granted to other candidates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 29.06.2022 (Annexure P-19) to the competent authority and states that petitioner would submit a fresh detailed representation to the competent authority of respondent No.3 and prays that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent no.3 considers the said detailed representation in a time bound manner and

after considering the same, in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.3 would consider the detailed representation of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of 6 weeks from the date of the receipt of said detailed representation.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.3 to consider the fresh detailed representation as and when filed by the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receiving the said representation and after considering the same, in case the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 6 weeks from the date of submitting the detailed representation by the petitioner.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent no.3 would consider and decide the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

November 09, 2023

Atik

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No