

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(109) CRWP-3588-2023
DATE OF DECISION:-25.04.2023

Raj Rani and another ...Petitioners
VS.

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.

By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

The present petition was filed with the averments that petitioners are living in live-in relationship having threatened at the hands of private respondents.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is having advance notice of the petition, on instructions from ASI Birbal Singh, P. S. Sadar Fazilka, submits that petitioner No.1 Raj Rani has gone back to her parents out of her own free wish and will.

In view of the above, the petition qua petitioner No.1 does not survive. As regards, petitioner No.2, Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka -respondent No.2, to look into the matter and consider the representation submitted by him regarding threat perception.

Disposed of accordingly.

25.04.2023 (HARKESH MANUJA)
anil JUDGE
whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
whether reportable: Yes/No