

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CRM-M-20418-2023

Date of Decision : 26.04.2023

Pallavi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Nisha

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is seeking setting aside of complaint bearing No. COMA/4/2023 dated 02.01.2023 which is pending before Illaqa Magistrate, Faridabad, under Section 12 and other Sections of DV Act.

2. This Court vide order dated 24.04.2023 in “Jaspal Kaur @ Pinki and others vs. State of Punjab and another” in CRM-M-19553-2023 relying upon the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Kamatchi Vs. Lakshmi Narayanan” 2022 SCC Online SC 446, Kunappareddy Vs. Kunappareddy Swarna Kumari (2016) 11 SCC 774** and Full Bench of Madras High Court in **Arul Daniel and others Vs. Suganya 2022 SCC Online Mad 5435** has held that proceedings under Section 12 of DV Act are civil in nature, thus, petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is not maintainable against the petition under Section 12 of DV Act and notice under Section 13 of DV Act. The impugned order is appealable under Section 29 of DV Act,

however, petitioner has not preferred appeal against impugned order.

3. In view of order dated 24.4.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M-19553 of 2023 and remedy of appeal under Section 29 of DV Act present petition is not maintainable and accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy as permissible by law.

4. Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

26.04.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>