

CRM-M-34130-2018

Date of decision: 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2023

Rupinder Singh Sarsua and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 5 not to harass the petitioners and not to register any false case against the petitioners on the instance of respondents No. 6 to 9. Further to protect life and liberty of the petitioners as they are having apprehension of false involvement in a criminal case.

2. As per the reply filed by learned State counsel on 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2023, the allegations made by the petitioners against the private respondents were found false. It was concluded that dispute was between father and son regarding the coaching centre.

3. In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been provided two gunmen at their costs and liberty be granted to pursue their remedies in case cause of action so arises in case of withdrawal of the security.

5. Needless to say that no orders are called for as there is no issue with regard to withdrawal of security involved in the present petition.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE

15<sup>th</sup> September, 2023  
*Parveen Sharma*

- |                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |