

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

Civil Writ Petition No.10114 of 2022  
Date of Decision: January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2023

M/s. Krishan Chand Pradeep Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate  
with Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional Advocate General, Haryana  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

On the last date of hearing i.e. 05.01.2023, following order was  
passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners  
acknowledges the fact that as far as the claim with  
regard to 35% amount is concerned, there is no dispute  
in the light of the reply which has been filed, however,  
15% administrative charges have been charged twice  
over whereas it should have been only once.*

*Learned State counsel prays for a week’s time to  
seek instructions.*

*Prayer granted.*

*Adjourned to 12.01.2023.”*

In pursuance to the said order, a communication dated  
10.01.2023 received from the office of the Chief Administrator, Haryana  
State Agricultural Marketing Board, Panchkula has been placed on record  
by the counsel for the respondents, wherein it has been stated as follows:-

*“It is intimated that the 15% administrative charges are being charged in part 'A' belongs to cost of land and part 'C' administrative charges i.e. 15% are being charged on development cost as per formula of the Board in its meeting held on 12.09.2011 and 28.03.2012. This is for your information and necessary action please. The copy of reserve price formula is enclosed.”*

In the light of the above, we do not find any ground for further proceeding with the matter as we are satisfied that the charges, which have been levied, are in accordance with the terms and conditions of the statute.

The writ petition, therefore, stands disposed of.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2023**  
*Puneet*

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No