

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-3390-2016
Date of Decision: 14.02.2023

Harinder Singh and others Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present Mr. Anmol Partap Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akash Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that he would have no objection in case the present FIR is quashed.

A perusal of the FIR reveals that it is a quashing petition on merits. However, once the respondent/complainant has agreed for quashing of FIR, as such, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Given above, the petitioners are directed to file a fresh petition for quashing of FIR based on compromise, since, there is no objection on behalf of respondent No. 2-Arun Kumar. In case, respondent No. 2-Arun Kumar resiles from the compromise, it shall again be permissible for the petitioners to file a fresh petition for quashing of FIR on merits along with additional fact that respondent No. 2 had not objected for quashing of FIR.

The present petition stands disposed of. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

Further liberty is reserved either to file a fresh petition for quashing of FIR on compromise or quashing on merits by arraigning all the aggrieved persons as accused.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

14.02.2023/Jyoti-II

JYOTI
2023.02.16 09:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No