

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2213-2023

Date of Decision :17.04.2023

Kuldip Singh @ Baljit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Devi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner raised a single argument that amendment which has been allowed by the trial Court should be subject to the limitation.

In this regard, it is submitted that order impugned by which, amendment has been allowed is dated 17.03.2023 and after the said amendment, issues have been framed on 22.03.2023 and concededly issue No.3(c) is with regard to the limitation.

That being so, order impugned in the present revision petition has already been made subject to the limitation by framing the said issue hence, no grievance can be raised by the petitioner with regard to the argument noticed hereinbefore as the same stands accepted already by the trial Court while framing the issues.

Keeping in view the above, no interference is called for by this Court and the present revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

April 17, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No