

1.	CRM-M-16495-2019		
	Gaurav Gupta		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)
2.	CRM-M-16498-2019		
	Raj Kumar		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)
3.	CRM-M-16567-2019		
	Manoj Kumar		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)
4.	CRM-M-16771-2019		
	Vinod Kumar		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)
5.	CRM-M-9249-2019		
	Mayank Gupta		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)
6.	CRM-M-9264-2019		
	R.S. Kadiyan		...Petitioner(s)
		Versus	
	State of Haryana		...Respondent(s)

7. CRM-M-9308-2019

Manish Bansal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

8. CRM-M-17146-2019

Mukesh Sachan

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Vide this common order above mentioned petitions are disposed of as common facts are involved. For convenience, the facts are taken from CRM-M-16495-2019.

2. This petition is filed for quashing of impugned notice dated 20th November, 2018 issued in FIR No. 183, dated 10th July, 2018, under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

3. On 11th April, 2019, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in these petitions has been made for quashing impugned notice(s) issued in FIR No.0183 dated 10.07.2018, registered under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Adampur (Hissar).

Learned counsel contends that petitioners have nothing to do with the allegations against the Sunrise University, Alwar. Police of Adampur has no jurisdiction to issue any notice under Section 160

Cr.P.C. to the petitioners, being residents of Rajasthan and Faridabad, outside its jurisdiction.

Notice of motion for 10.05.2019.

Meanwhile, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner(s) till the next date of hearing.

To be heard along with CRM-M-9249-2019.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases."

4. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that in view of the language of Section 160 Cr.P.C. and considering that the petitioners are not resident of District Hisar the notice issued under Section 160 Cr.P.C. (Annexure A-1) shall not be pursued. However, liberty is sought to proceed against the petitioners after following the due process of law.

5. In view of the above, no further directions are called for, the petitions are disposed of with liberty as prayed by learned State counsel.

6. Since the main cases have been disposed, pending applications if any are rendered infructuous.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

28th July, 2023

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No