

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17959-2023

Date of Decision: 17.04.2023

Surender KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

...

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta and
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

...

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to initiate proceedings under Section 182 IPC against the private respondents No. 3 to 5 pursuant to complaint dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P1) with respect to the registration of a false FIR No. 563 dated 01.11.2020 under Sections 167, 409, 420, 468, 471, 120-B IPC against the petitioner and others (Annexure P2).

Learned counsel submits that after the registration of the aforementioned FIR, the matter was investigated by the Investigating Agency and since no substance was found in the allegations levelled in the FIR, a cancellation report dated 19.08.2022 (Annexure P4) was prepared and presented before the JMIC, Mahendergarh, on 21.09.2022 (Annexure P5). Learned counsel further contends that despite a representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P1) having been made by the petitioner to the official

respondents qua initiating legal proceedings under Sections 182, 211 IPC against the complainant, they had been sitting over the matter and had failed to take any steps in the said regard. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into his representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P1) and initiate appropriate action against the private respondents in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Mahendergarh at Narnaul, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 10.10.2022 (Annexure P1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

17.04.2023
rupi

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No